



TITLE:

Stable Solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau Equation in a Thin Domain (Conference on Dynamics of Patterns in Reaction-Diffusion Systems and the Related Topics)

AUTHOR(S):

Morita, Yoshihisa

---

CITATION:

Morita, Yoshihisa. Stable Solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau Equation in a Thin Domain (Conference on Dynamics of Patterns in Reaction-Diffusion Systems and the Related Topics). 数理解析研究所講究録 2003, 1330: 56-62

ISSUE DATE:

2003-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/43277>

RIGHT:

# Stable Solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau Equation in a Thin Domain

龍谷大学・理工学部 森田 善久 (Yoshihisa Morita)  
Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics  
Ryukoku University

## 1 Introduction

We study the Ginzburg-Landau equation which was proposed as a model in the theory of superconductivity ([8]). In the last decade many mathematical works appeared and the existence of solutions expressing the characteristic features of the superconductivity were studied. For instance see [1], [3], [4], [7], [18, 19, 20] for nucleation of surface superconductivity, [10], [15], [16, 17], [23] for permanent current and [24] [25] for vortices. Such phenomena can be observed in different values of an applied magnetic field. For instance the permanent current is a typical phenomenon in the absence of applied magnetic fields while the other ones take place in appropriate regimes of strength of the field.

In this paper we deal with the Ginzburg-Landau equation and the associate energy functional with no applied magnetic fields. Our aim is to show the existence of stable solutions expressing permanent currents. Here the permanent current can be realized by a stable nonconstant solution to the equation. By physical intuition it seems natural to study this problem in a non-simply connected domain such as a donuts-like domain or a multiply connected domain. Actually the existence of stable nonconstant solutions in a non-simply connected domain were shown in [9], [16], [23]. Among other things Jimbo-Zhai [16] proved that any non-simply connected 3-dimensional domain allows stable solutions with complicated topological structure associated with the topology of the domain by taking  $\lambda$  large. On the other hand the existence of nonconstant stable solutions were proved in [13], [16] under no constraint of the topological condition. They instead used some domain perturbation arguments. The former one showed it in a 3-dimensional thin domain while in the latter one they proved it by filling the holes of a 3-dimensional non-simply connected domain with thin pancake-like domains.

The purpose of the present study is to develop the domain perturbation argument used in [13] to prove the existence of stable nontrivial solutions in more variety of domains than proved in [13]. Here we assume that the thin domain whose thickness is controlled by a small positive parameter  $\epsilon$ ,

$$\Omega(\epsilon) := \{(x', x_3) := (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_3 < \epsilon a(x'), x' \in D\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $D$  is a 2-dimensional domain with smooth boundary  $\partial D$  and  $a(x')$  is a smooth positive function describing the variable thickness of  $\Omega(\epsilon)$ . We consider the Ginzburg-Landau energy given by

$$\mathcal{G}_\epsilon(\Psi, A) := \int_{\Omega(\epsilon)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |(\nabla - iA)\Psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (1 - |\Psi|^2)^2 \right\} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\text{curl} A|^2 dx. \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Psi$  is complex-valued,  $A$  is a magnetic potential and  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter ( $\sqrt{\lambda}$  is the Ginzburg-Landau parameter). Then the Ginzburg-Landau equation is obtained by the Euler-Lagrange equation of the above energy functional,

$$\begin{cases} (\nabla - iA)^2 \Psi + \lambda(1 - |\Psi|^2)\Psi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega(\epsilon), \\ \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \nu} = i(A \cdot \nu)\Psi & \text{on } \partial\Omega(\epsilon), \\ \text{curl curl } A = \begin{cases} J & \text{in } \Omega(\epsilon), \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega(\epsilon), \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$J := \frac{1}{2i}(\Psi^* \nabla \Psi - \Psi \nabla \Psi^*) - |\Psi|^2 A, \quad (1.4)$$

$\nu$  denotes the outer normal vector on  $\partial\Omega(\epsilon)$  and  $\Psi^*$  is the complex conjugate of  $\Psi$ .

Since the equation is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\Psi \mapsto \Psi e^{i\rho}, \quad A \mapsto A + \nabla \rho$$

( $\rho$ : a smooth scalar function), we can choose a gauge so that

$$\text{div } A = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (1.5)$$

holds. Then  $\text{curl curl } A = -\Delta A$ .

We easily see that (1.3) has a constant solution  $(\Psi, A) = (e^{ic}, 0)$  ( $c$ : any real number) which is a (global) minimizer of (1.2). Thus our interest is to seek a nontrivial stable solution, that is, a (nontrivial) local minimizer of the energy functional.

In [13] the existence of a nontrivial stable solution of (1.3) (or a local minimizer to (1.2)) is studied by considering the reduced equation as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{a(x')} \text{div}_{x'}(a(x') \nabla_{x'} \psi) + \lambda(1 - |\psi|^2)\psi = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu_{x'}} = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

which is the Euler-Lagrange equation of

$$G(\psi) := \int_D \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{x'} \psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \right\} a(x') dx'. \quad (1.7)$$

More precisely it is shown that if the reduced equation (1.6) has a 'nondegenerate' stable solution, then the original equation also has a stable solution near the solution of the reduced equation. As an application the existence of a stable solution with zeros is proved with the aid of the result of [12] when the domain  $D$  is disk and  $a(x')$  satisfies an

appropriate condition. Here the ‘nondegenerate’ stable solution to (1.6) implies a stable solution at which the linearized operator allows a simple zero eigenvalue and negative ones. We note that since (1.6) is invariant under the transformation  $\psi \mapsto \psi e^{i\xi}$  ( $\xi$ : a real number), the linearized operator always has a zero eigenvalue.

Our aim is to establish the existence of a nontrivial stable solution of (1.3) for a more general or a geometrically complicated domain. In order to carry out it we improve the argument used in [13]. We consider the domain  $D$  containing a family of disjoint domains  $\{D_j\}_{j=1..N}$  and show the existence of a local minimizer of (1.2) for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$  if each domain  $D_j$  allows a stable nondegenerate solution  $\psi_j$  to (1.6) and if the quantity of

$$\alpha_I := \int_{D \setminus \cup_{j=1}^N D_j} a(x') dx' \quad (1.8)$$

is small enough. As applications we can consider the following two types of domains:

- (i) each  $D_j$  is a disk and the remaining subset  $D \setminus \cup_{j=1}^N D_j$  consists of thin channels connecting two of  $\{D_j\}$ ;
- (ii)  $N = 1$  and  $D \setminus D_1$  consists of a family of disjoint closed disks  $\{\overline{B}_\rho(p_j)\}_{j=1..m}$ , where  $B_\rho(p_j) := \{x' : |x' - p_j| < \rho\}$ .

In the first case (i) the volume of the channels must be controlled so that the quantity  $\alpha_I$  of (1.8) is small enough. We see from [12] that there are nondegenerate stable vortex solution with degree 1 or -1 in each disk  $D_j$ . Thus counting the constant solution in  $D_j$ , we can obtain  $3^N$  types of local minimizers to (1.2) (including the minimizer) by applying the main theorem presented in the following section.

On the other hand  $\alpha_I$  could be small by an appropriate choice of  $a(x')$  in the second case (ii). Since  $D_1$  is not simply connected, by virtue of [14] we can construct a nondegenerate stable solution in each homotopy class  $C^0(\overline{D}_1; S^1)$ ; thus the existence of a local minimizer of (1.2) with the zero set localized in  $\{(x', x_3) : 0 < x_3 < \epsilon a(x'), x' \in D \setminus \overline{D}_1\}$  can be assured with the aid of the main theorem. This result shows a pinning of vortices by the inhomogeneity of the surface of the domain.

## 2 Assumptions and main theorem

We identify a complex-valued function  $\Psi(x) = u_1(x) + iu_2(x)$  with a vector-valued one  $u(x) = (u_1(x), u_2(x))^T$ . Thus

$$L^2(\Omega(\epsilon); \mathbb{C}) = L^2(\Omega(\epsilon); \mathbb{R}^2), \quad H^1(\Omega(\epsilon); \mathbb{C}) = H^1(\Omega(\epsilon); \mathbb{R}^2), \quad \text{etc.}$$

As in [13] and [16], define a Banach space

$$Y := \{B \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3) : \nabla B \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3})\}, \quad (2.1)$$

with norm

$$\|B\|_Y := \|\nabla B\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

If a solution of (1.3) is a local minimizer of the functional  $\mathcal{G}_\epsilon$  in the space  $H^1(\Omega(\epsilon); \mathbb{C}) \times Y$ , we call it a stable solution.

Let  $\{D_j\}_{j=1..N}$  be a family of domains such that

$$\overline{D_j} \cap \overline{D_k} = \emptyset \quad (j \neq k), \quad (2.2)$$

and the boundary of each domain  $D_j$  is sufficiently smooth (at least  $C^3$ ). We suppose that the domain  $D$  satisfies

$$D \supset \cup_{j=1}^N D_j \quad (2.3)$$

and that if  $N = 1$ , there are  $y_k \in D, \rho_k > 0$  ( $k = 1, \dots, m$ ) such as

$$D \setminus D_1 = \cup_{k=1}^m \overline{B_{\rho_k}(y_k)}, \quad \overline{B_{\rho_k}(y_k)} \cap \overline{B_{\rho_\ell}(y_\ell)} = \emptyset \quad (k \neq \ell), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $B_{\rho_k}(y_k) := \{x' : |x' - y_k| < \rho_k\}$ .

We let  $a(x')$  be a smooth positive function defined on  $D$ . Then we may assume

$$\sup_{x' \in D} a(x') = 1 \quad (2.5)$$

by normalization. We also write  $a = a_j(x')$  for  $x' \in D_j$  and define

$$\|\psi\|_{L_a^2(D_j)} := \left( \int_{D_j} |\psi(x')|^2 a_j(x') dx' \right)^{1/2}$$

and by  $L_a^2(D_j; \mathbb{C})$  the space of square integrable functions with the norm  $\|\psi\|_{L_a^2(D_j)}$ . We also define

$$\|\psi\|_{H_a^1(D_j)} = (\|\psi\|_{L_a^2(D_j)}^2 + \|\nabla_{x'} \psi\|_{L_a^2(D_j)}^2)^{1/2}$$

and  $H_a^1(D_j; \mathbb{C})$ . Similarly we can define  $\|\psi\|_{L_a^2(D)}$ ,  $\|\psi\|_{H_a^1(D)}$ ,  $L_a^2(D; \mathbb{C})$  and  $H_a^1(D; \mathbb{C})$  respectively.

Let  $\psi_j(x')$  be a solution to (1.6) for  $a(x') = a_j(x')$  and  $D = D_j$ . The linearized operator around  $\psi_j$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} \hat{L}_j[\varphi] := \frac{1}{a_j(x')} \operatorname{div}_{x'}(a_j(x') \nabla_{x'} \varphi) + \lambda(1 - |\psi_j|^2) \varphi - 2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(\psi_j^* \varphi) \psi_j, \\ \operatorname{Dom}(\hat{L}_j) := \{\varphi \in L_a^2(D_j; \mathbb{C}) : \varphi \in H^2(D_j; \mathbb{C}), \partial \varphi / \partial \nu_{x'} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_j\} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Note that

$$\hat{L}_j[i\psi_j] = \frac{1}{a_j(x')} \operatorname{div}_{x'}(a_j(x') \nabla_{x'}(i\psi_j)) + \lambda(1 - |\psi_j|^2)(i\psi_j) = 0,$$

thus  $\varphi = i\psi_j$  is an eigenfunction corresponding to zero eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}_j$ . One can also check that  $\hat{L}_j$  is a self-adjoint operator with respect to the inner product

$$\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle_{L_a^2(D_j)} := \operatorname{Re} \int_{D_j} \psi(x') \varphi^*(x') a_j(x') dx' \quad (2.7)$$

(recall  $\mathbb{C}$  is identified with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), thus the spectrum of  $\hat{L}_j$  consists of only real eigenvalues. We call  $\psi_j$  a nondegenerate stable solution if the following holds:

(A) Zero is a simple eigenvalue of  $\hat{L}_j$  and the remaining eigenvalues are negative.

We write by  $\Psi_0$  a  $(C^0(\bar{D}; \mathbb{C}) \cap H_a^1(D; \mathbb{C}))$ -extension of  $\psi_j(x')$ ,  $x' \in D_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ), that is,

$$\Psi_0 \in C^0(\bar{D}; \mathbb{C}) \cap H_a^1(D; \mathbb{C}), \quad \Psi_0(x') = \psi_j(x') \text{ in } D_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, N). \quad (2.8)$$

We denote by

$$\tilde{\Psi}(x', z) := \Psi(x', \epsilon a(x')z) \quad ((x', z) \in D \times (0, 1))$$

the transformed function of  $\Psi(x)$  ( $x \in \Omega(\epsilon)$ ) and denote the norm by

$$\|\tilde{\Psi}\|_{L_a^2(D \times (0,1); \mathbb{C})} := \left\{ \int_D \int_0^1 |\tilde{\Psi}(x', z)|^2 a(x') dx' dz \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Now we state the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 2.1** *Consider (1.3) for (1.1) with  $D$  satisfying (2.2) – (2.3) or (2.3) – (2.4). For each  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , suppose that  $\psi_j$  is a solution to (1.6) with  $a = a_j$  and  $D = D_j$  and that it satisfies (A). Write by  $\Psi_0$  the extension as in (2.8) and set*

$$\alpha_0 := \min_{x' \in D \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N D_j} a(x').$$

*Then there exist a number  $M > 0$  and a small number  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that if  $\delta \in (0, \delta_1)$  and  $a(x')$  satisfies*

$$\alpha_I = \int_{D \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N D_j} a(x') dx' \leq M\delta^2,$$

*there is a small  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\delta, \alpha_0, D) > 0$  such that for each  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$  (1.2) has a local minimizer  $(\Psi_\epsilon, A_\epsilon)$  (in  $H^1(\Omega(\epsilon); \mathbb{C}) \times Y$ ) satisfying*

$$\|\tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon - \Psi_0 e^{i\hat{c}_j}\|_{H_a^1(D_j \times (0,1); \mathbb{C})} < \delta, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.9)$$

*where each  $\hat{c}_j$  is the number given by*

$$\|\tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon - \Psi_0 e^{i\hat{c}_j}\|_{L_a^2(D_j \times (0,1); \mathbb{C})} = \inf_{0 \leq c \leq 2\pi} \|\tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon - \Psi_0 e^{ic}\|_{L_a^2(D_j \times (0,1); \mathbb{C})} \quad (2.10)$$

For the proof of the above theorem see [21].

## References

- [1] Baumann, P., Phillips, D., Tang, Q.: Stable nucleation for the Ginzburg-Landau system with an applied magnetic field. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **142** (1998), 1-43
- [2] Berger, J., Rubinstein, J.: Bifurcation analysis for phase transitions in superconducting rings with nonuniform thickness. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **58** (1998) 103-121.
- [3] Bernoff, A., Sternberg, P.: On set of superconductivity in decreasing fields for general domains. *J. Math. Phys.* **39** (1998), 1272-1284.
- [4] Bolley, C., Helffer, B.: Proof of the De Gennes formula for the superheating field in the weak  $\kappa$  limit. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **14** (1997) 597-613.
- [5] Chapman, S., Du, Q., Gunzberger, M., Peterson, J.: Simplified Ginzburg-Landau model for superconductivity valid for high kappa and high fields. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* **5** (1995) 193-218.
- [6] Chen, X.-Y., Jimbo, S., Morita, Y.: Stabilization of vortices in the Ginzburg-Landau equation with a variable diffusion coefficient, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29** (1998), 903-912.
- [7] Del Pino, M., Felmer, P. L., Sternberg, P.: Boundary concentration for the eigenvalue problems related to the onset of superconductivity. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (200), 413-446.
- [8] Ginzburg, V., Landau, L.: On the theory of superconductivity. *Zh. Eksper. Teor. Fiz.* **20** (1950), 1064-1082.
- [9] Jimbo, S., Morita, Y.: Stability of non-constant steady state solutions to a Ginzburg-Landau equation in higher space dimensions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **22** (1994), 753-770.
- [10] Jimbo, S., Morita, Y.: Ginzburg-Landau equation and stable solutions in a rotational domain. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **27** (1996), 1360-1385.
- [11] Jimbo, S., Morita, Y.: Stable solutions with zeros to the Ginzburg-Landau equation with Neumann boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* **128** (1996), 596-613.
- [12] Jimbo, S., Morita, Y.: Stable vortex solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau equation with a variable coefficient in a disk. *J. Differential Equations* **155** (1999), 153-176.
- [13] Jimbo, S., Morita, Y.: Ginzburg-Landau equation with magnetic effect in a thin domain, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **15** (2002), 325-352.
- [14] Jimbo, S., Morita, Y., Zhai, J.: Ginzburg-Landau equation and stable steady state solutions in a non-trivial domain. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **20** (1995), 2093-2112.

- [15] Jimbo, S., Sternberg, P.: Non-existence of permanent currents in convex planar samples, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **33** (2002), 1379-1392.
- [16] Jimbo, S., Zhai, J.: Ginzburg-Landau equation with magnetic effect: non-simply connected domain. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **50** (1998), 663-684.
- [17] Jimbo, S., Zhai, J.: Domain perturbation method and local minimizers to Ginzburg-Landau functional with magnetic effect. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **5** (2000), 101-112.
- [18] Lu, K., Pan, X.-B.: Ginzburg-Landau equation with DeGennes boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* **129** (1996), 136-165.
- [19] Lu, K., Pan, X.-B.: Eigenvalue problems for Ginzburg-Landau operator in bounded domains. *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999), 2647-2670.
- [20] Lu, K., Pan, X.-B.: Gauge invariant eigenvalue problems in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$ . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 1247-1276.
- [21] Morita, Y.: A Remark on the Ginzburg-Landau equation with magnetic effect in a thin domain, preprint.
- [22] Rubinstein, J., Schatzman, M.: Asymptotics for thin superconducting rings. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **77** (1998), 801-820.
- [23] Rubinstein, J., Sternberg, P.: Homotopy classification of minimizers of the Ginzburg-Landau energy and the existence of permanent currents. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996), 257-263.
- [24] Serfaty, S.: Stable configurations in superconductivity: uniqueness, multiplicity, and vortex-nucleation, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **149** (1999), 329-365.
- [25] Sandier, E., Serfaty, S.: On the energy of type-II superconductors in the mixed phase, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **12** (2000), 1219-1257.